lecture 16: lasso and coordinate **DESCENT**

STAT 5987: INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTING FOR STATISTICS

Vinayak Rao Department of Statistics, Purdue University

March 20, 2019

Bias-variance and regularization

Problem: Given training data $(X, y) \equiv \{x_i, y_i\}$, minimize $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathsf{Y} - \mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{w})^2$

Problem: Given training data $(X, y) \equiv \{x_i, y_i\}$, minimize $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathsf{Y} - \mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{w})^2$

To reduce variance (i.e. sensitivity to small changes in training data), add a penalty $Ω(w)$:

 $\hat{w} = \argmin \mathcal{L}(w) + \lambda \Omega(w)$

Bias-variance and regularization

Problem: Given training data $(X, y) \equiv \{x_i, y_i\}$, minimize $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathsf{Y} - \mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{w})^2$

To reduce variance (i.e. sensitivity to small changes in training data), add a penalty $\Omega(w)$:

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \text{argmin }\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \Omega(\mathbf{w})
$$

Ridge regression/L₂ regression:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\cdot \Omega(w) &= \|w\|_2^2 \\
\cdot \hat{w} &= (X^\top X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^\top y \quad \text{(Shrinkage)}\n\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Given training data $(X, y) \equiv \{x_i, y_i\}$, minimize $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathsf{Y} - \mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{w})^2$

To reduce variance (i.e. sensitivity to small changes in training data), add a penalty $Ω(w)$:

 $\hat{w} = \text{argmin }\mathcal{L}(w) + \lambda \Omega(w)$

LASSO:

- $\cdot \Omega(w) = ||w||_1$ ($||w||_1 = |w_1| + |w_2| + \cdots + |w_n|$)
- Shrinkage and selection (w is sparse with some components equal to 0)
- No simple closed-form solution

Credit data set (average credit card debt)

(top) ridge, (bottom) LASSO. (James, Witten, Hastic and Tibshirani) ²*/*¹⁶

Regularization as constrained optimization

argmin(y *−* X *⊤*w) ² + *λ∥*w*∥* 2 2 is equivalent to $\argmin(y - X^{\top}w)^2 \quad \text{s.t. } \|w\|_2^2 \leq \gamma$

(Note: *γ* will depend on data)

Regularization as constrained optimization

argmin(y *−* X *⊤*w) ² + *λ∥*w*∥* 2 2 is equivalent to

 $\argmin(y - X^{\top}w)^2 \quad \text{s.t. } \|w\|_2^2 \leq \gamma$

(Note: *γ* will depend on data)

First problem: regularized optimization Second problem: constrained optimization

Regularization as constrained optimization

argmin(y *−* X *⊤*w) ² + *λ∥*w*∥* 2 2 is equivalent to

 $\argmin(y - X^{\top}w)^2 \quad \text{s.t. } \|w\|_2^2 \leq \gamma$

(Note: *γ* will depend on data)

First problem: regularized optimization Second problem: constrained optimization

 $\argmin(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{w})^2 \quad \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq \gamma$ *∥*w*∥*¹ = ∑*^p j*=1 *|w^j |* is the *ℓ*1-norm.

$$
\operatorname{argmin}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{w})^2 \quad \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq \gamma
$$

$$
\|\mathbf{w}\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^p |w_j| \text{ is the } \ell_1\text{-norm.}
$$

Lasso: least absolute shrinkage and selection operator.

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \operatorname{argmin} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{w})^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1
$$

$$
\operatorname{argmin}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{w})^2 \quad \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq \gamma
$$

$$
\|\mathbf{w}\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^p |w_j| \text{ is the } \ell_1\text{-norm.}
$$

Lasso: least absolute shrinkage and selection operator.

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \operatorname{argmin} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \mathbf{w})^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1
$$

- Penalizes small *w^j* more than ridge regression.
- Tolerates larger *w^j* more than ridge regression.

$$
\operatorname{argmin}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{w})^2 \quad \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq \gamma
$$

$$
\|\mathbf{w}\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^p |w_j| \text{ is the } \ell_1\text{-norm.}
$$

Lasso: least absolute shrinkage and selection operator.

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \operatorname{argmin} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \mathbf{w})^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1
$$

- Penalizes small *w^j* more than ridge regression.
- Tolerates larger *w^j* more than ridge regression.

Result:

- \hat{w}_{LASSO} has some components *exactly* equal to zero.
- Performs feature selection.

In the 1-d case, $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \{x_i, y_i\}$ Least-squares solution: *w*ˆ*ols* = x*⊤*y x*⊤*x

In the 1-d case, $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \{x_i, y_i\}$ Least-squares solution: *w*ˆ*ols* = x*⊤*y x*⊤*x Ridge regression solution: $\hat{w}_{ridge} = \frac{x^{\top}x}{x^{\top}x+1}$ x*⊤*x+*λ*

In the 1-d case, $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \{x_i, y_i\}$ Least-squares solution: *w*ˆ*ols* = x*⊤*y x*⊤*x Ridge regression solution: $\hat{w}_{ridge} = \frac{x^{\top}x}{x^{\top}x+1}$ x*⊤*x+*λ* LASSO solution?

Optimization in R

Use the optim function

Syntax:

```
option(par, fn, gr = NULL, ...method = c('Nelder-Mead', 'BFGS', 'CG', 'L-BFGS-B', 'SANN',
             'Brent'),
 lower = -Inf, upper = Inf,control = list(), hessian = FALSE)
```
fn: function to be optimized

gr: gradient function (calculate numerically if NULL)

par: initial value of parameter to be optimized (should be first argument of fn)

 \hat{w} = argmin $\mathcal{L}(w)$ = argmin $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - wx_i)^2 + \lambda |w|$

 \hat{w} = argmin $\mathcal{L}(w)$ = argmin $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - wx_i)^2 + \lambda |w|$ At the minimum,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}w} = 0
$$

 \hat{w} = argmin $\mathcal{L}(w)$ = argmin $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - wx_i)^2 + \lambda |w|$ At the minimum,

$$
\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw} = 0
$$

-
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - wx_i)x_i + \lambda \frac{d|w|}{dw} = 0
$$

 \hat{w} = argmin $\mathcal{L}(w)$ = argmin $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - wx_i)^2 + \lambda |w|$ At the minimum,

> d*L* $rac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\mathbf{w}}$ = 0 *−* ∑*n i*=1 $(y_i - wx_i)x_i + \lambda \frac{d|w|}{dw}$ $\frac{d\mathbf{w}}{d\mathbf{w}} = 0$ $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i x_i + w \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \lambda \frac{d|w|}{dw}$ *i*=1 *i*=1 $\frac{d\mathbf{w}}{d\mathbf{w}} = 0$

 \hat{w} = argmin $\mathcal{L}(w)$ = argmin $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - wx_i)^2 + \lambda |w|$ At the minimum,

> d*L* $rac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\mathbf{w}}$ = 0 *−* ∑*n i*=1 $(y_i - wx_i)x_i + \lambda \frac{d|w|}{dw}$ $\frac{d\mathbf{w}}{d\mathbf{w}} = 0$ $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i x_i + w \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \lambda \frac{d|w|}{dw}$ *i*=1 *i*=1 $\frac{d\mathbf{w}}{d\mathbf{w}} = 0$ $W =$ $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i - \lambda \frac{d|w|}{dw}$ ∑ $\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{\text{dw}}}{n_{\text{w2}}}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2$

$$
w = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i x_i - \lambda \frac{d|w|}{dw}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2} = \frac{y^{\top} x - \lambda \frac{d|w|}{dw}}{x^{\top} x} : \text{ What is } \frac{d|w|}{dw}?
$$

LASSO

First calculate: $\hat{w}_{ols} = \frac{y^{\top}x}{x^{\top}x}$ x*⊤*x

LASSO

First calculate: $\hat{w}_{ols} = \frac{y^{\top}x}{x^{\top}x}$ x*⊤*x $\mathsf{Soft}\; \mathsf{threshold:}\; \hat{w}_{\mathsf{LASSO}} = \mathsf{sign}(\hat{w}_{\mathsf{ols}})(|\hat{w}_{\mathsf{ols}}| - \frac{\lambda}{\mathsf{x}^\top\mathsf{x}})_+$

 $(x)_{+} = x$ if $x > 0$, else 0, and $sign(x) = +1$ *if* $x > 0$ *else* -1

Find w by coordinate descent

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1
$$
 (1)

(3)

Find w by coordinate descent

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||_1
$$
(1)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_j x_{ij})^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |w_j|
$$
(2)

(3)

Find w by coordinate descent

L(w) =

$$
E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||_1
$$
(1)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_j x_{ij})^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |w_j|
$$
(2)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{id} - w_d x_{id})^2 + \lambda |w_d| + C
$$
(3)

Find w by coordinate descent

L(w) =

$$
E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||_1
$$
(1)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_j x_{ij})^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |w_j|
$$
(2)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{id} - w_d x_{id})^2 + \lambda |w_d| + C
$$
(3)

Find w by coordinate descent

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1
$$
(1)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_j x_{ij})^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |w_j|
$$
(2)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{id} - w_d x_{id})^2 + \lambda |w_d| + C
$$
(3)

Here *rid* is the residual of obs. *i*:

$$
r_{id} = y_i - \sum_{j \neq d} w_j x_{ij}
$$

Find w by coordinate descent

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1
$$
(1)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_j x_{ij})^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |w_j|
$$
(2)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{id} - w_d x_{id})^2 + \lambda |w_d| + C
$$
(3)

Here *rid* is the residual of obs. *i*:

$$
r_{id} = y_i - \sum_{j \neq d} w_j x_{ij}
$$

Eq(3) is just 1d LASSO! Can solve for w_d by soft-thresholding.

Repeat 10^{/16}

Initialize w to some arbitrary value

For dimension *d*, calculate the residual $\mathbf{r}_d = (r_{1d}, \cdots, r_{nd})$, $r_{\mathsf{id}} = y_{\mathsf{i}} - \sum_{\mathsf{j}\neq\mathsf{d}} w_{\mathsf{j}} x_{\mathsf{i}\mathsf{j}}$ for each observation $\mathsf{i}\mathsf{j}$ $\text{Set} \ \hat{w}_{ols} = \frac{(\mathsf{x}_d)^\top \mathsf{r}_d}{(\mathsf{x}_d)^\top \mathsf{x}_d}$ (x*d*)*⊤*x*^d* where x*^d* is the *d*th column of X and we have: $\hat{w}_d = \text{sign}(\hat{w}_{ols})(|\hat{w}_{ols}| - \frac{\lambda}{(\mathbf{X}_d)^\top \mathbf{X}_d})_+$

Initialize w to some arbitrary value

For dimension *d*, calculate the residual $\mathbf{r}_d = (r_{1d}, \dots, r_{nd})$, $r_{\mathsf{id}} = y_{\mathsf{i}} - \sum_{\mathsf{j}\neq\mathsf{d}} w_{\mathsf{j}} x_{\mathsf{i}\mathsf{j}}$ for each observation $\mathsf{i}\mathsf{j}$ $\text{Set} \ \hat{w}_{ols} = \frac{(\mathsf{x}_d)^\top \mathsf{r}_d}{(\mathsf{x}_d)^\top \mathsf{x}_d}$ (x*d*)*⊤*x*^d* where x*^d* is the *d*th column of X and we have: $\hat{w}_d = \text{sign}(\hat{w}_{ols})(|\hat{w}_{ols}| - \frac{\lambda}{(\mathbf{X}_d)^\top \mathbf{X}_d})_+$

Repeat across dimensions *d* till convergence.

Initialize w to some arbitrary value

For dimension *d*, calculate the residual $\mathbf{r}_d = (r_{1d}, \dots, r_{nd})$, $r_{\mathsf{id}} = y_{\mathsf{i}} - \sum_{\mathsf{j}\neq\mathsf{d}} w_{\mathsf{j}} x_{\mathsf{i}\mathsf{j}}$ for each observation $\mathsf{i}\mathsf{j}$ $\text{Set} \ \hat{w}_{ols} = \frac{(\mathsf{x}_d)^\top \mathsf{r}_d}{(\mathsf{x}_d)^\top \mathsf{x}_d}$ (x*d*)*⊤*x*^d* where x*^d* is the *d*th column of X and we have: $\hat{w}_d = \text{sign}(\hat{w}_{ols})(|\hat{w}_{ols}| - \frac{\lambda}{(\mathbf{X}_d)^\top \mathbf{X}_d})_+$

Repeat across dimensions *d* till convergence.

Does this work?

For convex differentiable functions: yes

Convex function *f*: local optimum is a global minimum.

Local optimum for a differentiable function:

$$
\nabla f(\mathbf{w}) = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_p}\right] = 0
$$

At a stationary point of coordinate descent, the RHS is true.

For convex non-differentiable functions: in general, no!

Does co-ordinate descent work?

For functions of the form: $f(\mathbf{w}) = g(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(w_i)$, where f is convex and differentiable, *hⁱ* 's are convex but not differentiable: yes

Competitive with state-of-the-art optimization for LASSO

Competitive with state-of-the-art optimization for LASSO Since objective function is convex, any initialization works (though some are better)

Competitive with state-of-the-art optimization for LASSO

Since objective function is convex, any initialization works (though some are better)

Obtains the solution **ŵ** for any λ

Competitive with state-of-the-art optimization for LASSO

Since objective function is convex, any initialization works (though some are better)

Obtains the solution **ŵ** for any λ

Can repeat for different *λ*'s (though some ways are better).

Pathwise co-ordinate descent

We want **ŵ**'s for a set of $λ$'s

Pick a smallest and largest λ (latter corresponding to $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = 0$) Divide into equidistant grid points (typ. on logscale)

Pick a smallest and largest λ (latter corresponding to $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = 0$) Divide into equidistant grid points (typ. on logscale)

Start with the largest λ (solution = 0).

Pick a smallest and largest λ (latter corresponding to $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = 0$) Divide into equidistant grid points (typ. on logscale)

Start with the largest λ (solution = 0).

Move to the next, using previous solution as initialization.

Pick a smallest and largest λ (latter corresponding to $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = 0$) Divide into equidistant grid points (typ. on logscale)

Start with the largest λ (solution = 0).

Move to the next, using previous solution as initialization.

Converges after a few sweeps Repeat

Pick a smallest and largest λ (latter corresponding to $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = 0$) Divide into equidistant grid points (typ. on logscale)

Start with the largest λ (solution = 0).

Move to the next, using previous solution as initialization.

Converges after a few sweeps

Repeat

This kind of a guided search is often faster, even if we just want one *λ*.